Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/675,435	HASEGAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Kevin M. Bernatz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tony Curtis</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 November 2005	Time: <u>am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: all		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		i.*
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER the Examiner indicated that amendment to include the language is condition for allowance. Applicants agreed.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
and not result in resolution of all issues. A blief suitifierly	by the examiner appears in F	ait II above.
L. n. hot		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		